	Case 1:20-cv-00173-DAD-EPG Docume	nt 63 Filed 04/07/22 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BENJAMIN VANFOSSAN,	No. 1:20-cv-00173-DAD-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ARNEL DELOS SANTOS, et al.,	(Doc. No. 61)
15	Defendants.	(= 00.0000)
16		
17	Plaintiff Benjamin VanFossan is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i>	
18	in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 27, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
21	recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 50), brought on the	
22	grounds that plaintiff had failed to exhaust his administrative remedies with regard to defendants	
23	De Los Santos, Alkire, Cruz, and Robles prior to bringing suit be granted, and that the motion be	
24	denied to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative	
25	remedies prior to bringing suit with regard to plaintiff's claims brought against defendants	
26 27	Gonzales and Lewandowski. (Doc. No. 61.) The findings and recommendations further	
2728	recommended that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 37) be granted to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies as to defendants	
۷٥	extent that it seeks a runing that plantiff exhausted his administrative remedies as to defendants	

Case 1:20-cv-00173-DAD-EPG Document 63 Filed 04/07/22 Page 2 of 3

Gonzales and Lewandowski, and denied to the extent that it requests a ruling that plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies with regard to defendants De Los Santos, Alkire, Cruz, and Robles. (*Id.*)

The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service. (*Id.* at 21.) To date, no objections have been filed with the court, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly,

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on January 27, 2022 (Doc. No. 61) are adopted in full;
- 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 50) is granted to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies as to defendants De Los Santos, Alkire, Cruz, and Robles, and denied to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies as to defendants Gonzales and Lewandowski;
- 3. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 37) is granted to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff exhausted his remedies as to defendants

 Gonzales and Lewandowski, and denied to the extent that it seeks a ruling that plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies as to defendants De Los Santos, Alkire, Cruz, and Robles;
- 4. The claims in this action against defendants De Los Santos, Alkire, Cruz, and Robles are dismissed without prejudice;
- The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate defendants De Los Santos, Alkire,
 Cruz, and Robles as defendants on the docket; and

28 /////

6. This action proceeds on the claims brought against defendants Gonzales, Lewandowski, Amaya, and Huerta. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 6, 2022**

Case 1:20-cv-00173-DAD-EPG Document 63 Filed 04/07/22 Page 3 of 3